### CORE COMMITMENT INDICATORS AND TARGET-RESULTS (CCTRI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work-stream:</th>
<th>Core Commitment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WS2 Localization</td>
<td>#2a Increase and support multi-year investments in the institutional capacities of local and national responders, including preparedness, response and coordination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator (what is to be measured):</th>
<th>Baseline and Target-results (numerical objective):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of partnership or funding agreements that incorporate multi-year institutional capacity strengthening support for local and national responders, with optional reporting on the % awarded to women-led and or women rights’ organizations.</td>
<td>Baseline value:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target value:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Provide a short definition of the CCTRI:**

a) This is the simplest way to capture capacity building/strengthening outputs across signatories. Impact measurement will not be possible in the immediate term given the multi-year commitment required for sustainable results and further definition of quantitative and qualitative criteria against which to measure progress. This simple output indicator is intended to capture the diversity of signatories’ funding mechanisms and efforts on this commitment (e.g. stand-alone capacity initiatives; projects that incorporate capacity building; different funding periods/cycles, etc.). Signatories are encouraged to report qualitatively on the nature of the capacity strengthening work that is reported under this quantitative indicator.

b) Partnership agreements cover all signed agreements between local and national actors and each of the different GB signatory groups (donors, UN, and INGOs).

A possible definition of **capacity strengthening**, recommended for this reporting exercise is, “a deliberate process that supports the ability of organizations and networks to institutionalize new or improved systems and structures, and individuals and groups to acquire or improve knowledge, skills, or attitudes, which are necessary to function effectively, achieve goals, and work towards sustainability and self-reliance.”

A possible definition for **women-led organization**, recommended for this reporting exercise, is the following: an organization with a humanitarian mandate/mission that is (1) governed or directed by women or; 2) whose leadership is principally made up of women, demonstrated by 50% or more occupying senior leadership positions.

A possible definition for **women’s rights organization**, recommended for this reporting exercise, is the following: 1) an organization that self-identifies as a woman’s rights organization with primary focus on advancing gender equality, women’s empowerment and human rights; or 2) an organization that has, as part of its mission statement, the advancement of women’s/girls’ interests and rights (or where ‘women,’ ‘girls’, ‘gender’ or local language equivalents are prominent in their mission statement); or 3) an organization that has, as part of
its mission statement or objectives, to challenge and transform gender inequalities (unjust rules), unequal power relations and promoting positive social norms.
Self-identification by local actors themselves is being proposed while the possible technical definition described above can be used for guidance or further verification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeline (if applicable):</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td>Milestone 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month/year:</td>
<td>Month/year:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value:</td>
<td>Value:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The indicator refers to:**

- All constituencies ☒
- Donors ☐
- UN Agencies ☐
- NGOs ☐
- Red Cross Movement ☐

**Is the indicator gender sensitive?**

Yes

*If yes, please provide a short explanation on how the gender dimension is captured:*
Disaggregation at sub indicator will allow better opportunity to capture some, if not all, of the gender sensitive data.

*If no, please provide a short explanation about why the gender dimension is not relevant:*

Provide a short description about how signatories are moving commitments beyond the first transaction level:

**Work-stream:**

- WS2 Localization

**Core Commitment:**

- #2b Achieve by 2020 a global, aggregated target of at least 25% of humanitarian funding to local and national responders as directly as possible to improve outcomes for affected people and reduce transaction costs

**Indicator (what is to be measured):**

- % of humanitarian funding awarded as directly as possible to local and national responders, with optional reporting on the % of that funding awarded to women led and or women rights’ organizations.

**Baseline and Target-results (numerical objective):**

Baseline value:
**Provide a short definition of the CCTRI:**

- a) The workstream has already agreed that the original 25 percent target in the commitment serves as the primary indicator.
- b) Gender mainstreaming is a UN IASC commitment and should be reflected in the Grand Bargain where possible. Recognizing that women’s development is one aspect of gender sensitive approaches, we recommend not establishing a specific target for funding to women-led local and national organizations; debate on a target could derail workstream progress and possibly reduce the primary focus of the commitment to increase funding to local actors. However, self-reporting on actual numbers will establish a baseline and naturally incentivize progress if values are low.

A possible definition for “women-led organization”, recommended for this reporting exercise, is the following: an organization with a humanitarian mandate/mission that is (1) governed or directed by women or; 2) whose leadership is principally made up of women, demonstrated by 50% or more occupying senior leadership positions.

A possible definition for “women’s rights organization”, recommended for this reporting exercise, is the following: 1) an organization that self-identifies as a woman’s rights organization with primary focus on advancing gender equality, women’s empowerment and human rights; or 2) an organization that has, as part of its mission statement, the advancement of women’s/girls’ interests and rights (or where ‘women,’ ‘girls’, ‘gender’ or local language equivalents are prominent in their mission statement); or 3) an organization that has, as part of its mission statement or objectives, to challenge and transform gender inequalities (unjust rules), unequal power relations and promoting positive social norms.

Self-identification by local actors themselves is being proposed while the possible technical definition described above can be used for guidance or further verification.

**Timeline (if applicable):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Milestone 1</th>
<th>Milestone 2</th>
<th>Final target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Month/year:</td>
<td>Month/year:</td>
<td>Month/year:</td>
<td>Month/year:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value:</td>
<td>Value:</td>
<td>Value:</td>
<td>Value:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The indicator refers to:**

- All constituencies ☒
- Donors ☐
- UN Agencies ☐
- NGOs ☐

**Is the indicator gender sensitive?**

Yes

**If yes, please provide a short explanation on how the gender dimension is captured:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Red Cross Movement ☐</th>
<th>Disaggregation at sub indicator will allow better opportunity to capture some, if not all, of the gender sensitive data.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>If no, please provide a short explanation about why the gender dimension is not relevant:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Provide a short description about how signatories are moving commitments beyond the first transaction level:**